EX PARTE OR LATE FILED DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL 18210

92-266 RECEIV

2 8 MAY 1993

JUN - 1 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

> 8310-MEA CN9301934

Honorable Barbara A. Mikulski United States Senate 709 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Mikulski:

Thank you for your letter on behalf of Carl E. Lovett of Calverton, Maryland. Your constituent objects to cable television rate increases, monthly fees for additional hookups, and programs which include commercials.

The Commission adopted new regulations on April 1, 1993, requiring cable systems to reduce subscriber rates to "competitive" levels. For your constituent's further information on this matter, I have enclosed several publications discussing the new rate regulations.

Because cable operators are required to comply with certain signal leakage standards not applicable to traditional telephone services, the Commission administers no regulations that would prevent a cable operator from controlling its cable wiring as far as practicable. The amount a cable operator can charge for extra hookups, however, may be subject to rate regulation.

The Commission has no rules that would prohibit a cable service from carrying odvertisements.

I trust that the foregoing and the enclosures are informative.

Sincerely.

Roy J. Stewart

Chief, Mass Media Bureau

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

Congressional

CONGRESSIONAL CORRESPONDENCE TRACKING SYSTEM 05/05/93

LETTER REPORT

CONTROL NO.	DATE RECEIVED	DATE OF CORRESP	DATE DUE	DATE DUE	OLA (857)
9301934	05/05/93	04/30/93	05/21/93		
TITLE	MEMBERS	NAME	REPLY FO	R SIG OF	
Senator	Barbara A Mikulski		BC		
CONSTITU	ENT'S NAME	st	SUBJECT		
Carl E Love	tt Inq.	re: 1992 Cable 2	Act		
REF TO	REF TO	REF TO	R	EF TO	
ммв	ENF	(4)	_		
DATE	DATE	DATE		DATE	
05/05/93	5-5-9.	3 5/6/9	3		
REMARKS:				9	<u></u>

MASS HEDIA BUREAU

BARBARA A. MIKULSKI MARYLAND

Nashington, D.C. 20510

April 30 1000

April 30, 1993

Mr. Lauren J. Belvin Federal Communication Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Belvin:

I am forwarding a letter from one of my constituents, Carl E. Lovett, for your information.

I believe Mr. Lovett has an interesting perspective and I hope that you will consider his comments as you evaluate this issue.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Barbara A. Mikulski United States Senator

bus a mokenthi

BAM:cjp Enclosure to Coc .

3107 Craiglawn Road Calverton, Maryland 20705 Voice (301) 572-5867

Date: April 2, 1993

To: Senator Barbara Mikulski

From: Carl E. Lovett

Subject: FCC Cable Price Restrictions

The Federal Communications Commission's new cable 10% price restraints are not enough.

My personal cable bill has risen at an annual rate of 18% since 1985. This is at a time when the rate of inflation never exceeded 4%. Based on these figures my monthly bill for basic cable should be \$14.25 per month instead of \$25.95 per month. The basic cable rate reduction the FCC should be looking for is somewhere between 30% and 40%, NOT 10%.

In addition, the FCC should insist that cable companies be carriers in the same sense as telephone companies. That is, the cable is brought into one outlet box in the basement of the home. What the subscriber does with the signal after that, as long as it does not degrade the signal or infringe on copyrights, is no business of the cable companies and certainly should not be a charge on the monthly bill (remote controls, VCR hookups, extra TV hookups, etc.).

Finally, the basic cable package must include all programs which carry commercials. Why should you have to pay extra and still watch commercials? All of these programs in the basic package should be transmitted over the cable unscrambled. This would do away with the necessity of having a cable box unless premium channels are desired.

I hope that you will bring these concerns to the attention of the FCC. Your interest is greatly appreciated.

CEL:mm:grpfax02